arXiv:hep-th/9712014v2 23 Nov 1998

Axial and gauge anomalies in the field antifield quantization of the generalized Schwinger model

R. Amorim[*], N.R.F.Braga[†] and R. Thibes[‡]
Instituto de Física
Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro

Abstract

In the generalized Schwinger model the vector and axial vector currents are linearly coupled, with arbitrary coefficients, to the gauge connection. Therefore it represents an interesting example of a theory where both gauge anomalies and anomalous diver gences of global currents show up in general. We derive results for these two kinds of quantum corrections inside the field antifield framework.

PACS: 02.40.-k, 11.10.Ef, 11.15.-q, 11.30.Pb

---

[*] e-mail: amorim@if.ufrj.br
[†] e-mail braga@if.ufrj.br
[‡] e-mail: thibes@if.ufrj.br

An anomaly corresponds to the violation, at the quantum level, of some classical symmetry. In a path integral quantization scheme, this is reflected in the non trivial behavior of the path integral measure with respect to the corresponding transformation. It is important to distinguish between anomalies in gauge (local) and in global symmetries. Gauge invariance has the important consequence of implying a set of relations among the Greens functions of a field theory, the so called Ward identities, that play a crucial role, for example, in the study of renormalizability. A quantum obstruction to this kind of symmetry corresponds thus to a failure in the process of quantization of a classically gauge invariant theory.

Concerning the case of global symmetries, the Noether theorem implies, at the classical level, that to each global invariance corresponds an associated conserved current. Quantum effects can change this picture in such a way that the expectation value of the divergence of a classical conserved current can assume a non vanishing value. Even some non-vanishing divergencies, that so do not correspond to true symmetries of the classical action, can have their expectation values modified by quantum effects. This can also be considered as a global anomaly, as it happens with the covariant divergence of the non Abelian axial current in QCD_4. It is important to note that these global anomalous behaviors show up also in field theories that are normally referred in the literature as "non anomalous" (in the sense that they do not have gauge anomalies). In QCD_4, for instance, adopting a regularization that considers the vector gauge symmetry as a preferred one, the gauge invariance is not broken at quantum level but the expectation value of the axial current gets anomalous contributions[1, 2].

The Lagrangian quantization of Batalin and Vilkovisky (BV) [3, 4, 5], also known as field-antifield formalism, is considered to be one of the most powerful procedures of quantization of gauge theories. An important feature of this approach is that, for the case of reducible gauge theories, it furnishes a systematic way of building up the non trivial ghost for ghost structure. Another important advantage is that quantum corrections to the path integral measure can be calculated as long as a regularization procedure is introduced. In this framework, gauge anomalies show up as violations in the so called quantum master equation . The successful application of the Pauli Villars regularization scheme to this formalism at one loop level[6] leads to a series of results on the ca lculation of gauge anomalies as well as on the implementation of the Wess Zumino mechanism of restoring gauge invariance in the field antifield formalism[5, 7, 8, 9].

The calculation of anomalous violations in the conservation of global currents in the field antifield framework was discussed recently in [10, 11]. Those articles consider models where there is no gauge anomaly but just anomalous divergencies of some global currents. There the field antifield formalism is extended by trivially gauging the global symmetries by means of the introduction of compensatin g fields in such a way that global anomalies can naturally arise from the generating functional. Different aspects of rigid symmetries inside the field antifield formalism have been discussed in [12, 13]. We will consider here a specific model where there are in general quantum corrections to both gauge and global symmetries. This model describes a modified $2D$ electrodynamics where arbitrary linear axial and vector current couplings were introduced and it generalizes that one introduced in [14] . Depending on the parameters chosen, the master equation at one loop order may have no local solution in the original space of fields and antifields, which then has to be properly extended, following t he ideas introduced in [15]. An interesting feature of our approach is that we can show that it is possible to calculate the anomalous divergencies by means of a canonical transformation and not by introducing compensating fields in order to extend the symmetry content of the original classical theory [10, 11]. This procedure permits to extract global anomalies even for models where the gauge symmetries have been restored with the aid of Wess-Zumino fields.

Let us consider the general two dimensional Abelian gauge model, depending on two arbitrary parameters. This is more general than the theory of [14] in the sense that it includes also the possibility of pure axial coupling. Its action is given by [1]

$$\mathcal{S}_0\left[A,\psi,\bar{\psi}\right] = \int d^2x \Big[-\frac{1}{4}\, F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + i\,\bar{\psi}\tilde{\not{D}}\psi \Big]\,, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu\,, && (2)\\ \tilde{\not{D}} &= \gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu - ie\left(s+r\gamma_5\right)A_\mu\right). && (3)\end{aligned}$$

Action (1) reduces to the Schwinger model when $s=1$, $r=0$; to $2D$ axial electrodynamics when $s=0$, $r=1$ and to the chiral Schwinger model when $s=1/2$, $r=\pm 1/2$, but we pose no initial restrictions on the range of variation of these parameters. In this sense it describes any interpolation between vector and axial $2D$ electrodynamics, displaying in this way an interesting quantum dynamics. The model is classically invariant by the local infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi &= ie\alpha(x)\left(s+r\gamma_5\right)\psi\,,\\ \delta\bar{\psi} &= -ie\alpha(x)\bar{\psi}\left(s-r\gamma_5\right)\,,\\ \delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu\alpha(x)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and also by the global infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi &= ie\left(\varepsilon^1+\varepsilon^2\gamma_5\right)\psi\,,\\ \delta\bar{\psi} &= -ie\bar{\psi}\left(\varepsilon^1-\varepsilon^2\gamma_5\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

---

[1] We are using: $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag.}\,(+1,-1)$; $\epsilon^{01}=+1$; $\{\gamma^\mu,\gamma^\nu\}=2\eta^{\mu\nu}$; $\gamma_5=\gamma^0\gamma^1$; $\gamma^\mu=\gamma^0\gamma^{\mu\dagger}\gamma^0$; $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = \eta^{\mu\nu}+\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5$ and $\gamma_5\gamma^\mu=\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu$.

where the parameters ε^1 and ε^2 are constant. It is trivial to verify that the transformations (4) close in an Abelian algebra. The Noether currents associated to the global transformations (5), with parameters ε^1 and ε^2, are respectively given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_V^\mu &= \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi\,, \\ j_A^\mu &= \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma^\mu\psi\,, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and at classical level the Noether theorem asserts that $\partial_\mu\, j_V^\mu = 0 = \partial_\mu\, j_A^\mu$.

Now let us quantize this theory. In the field antifield formalism the generating functional has the general form [2]

$$Z_\Psi[\eta] = \int [d\Phi^A] \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ W \left[\Phi^A, \Phi_A^* = \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\Phi^A} \right] + \eta_A\Phi^A \right\}\,, \tag{7}$$

where the quantum action is expanded in orders of $\hbar$ as $W = \mathcal{S} + \sum_{n\geq 1}\hbar^p M_p$ and must be a proper solution of the quantum master equation [3, 4, 5]

$$\frac{1}{2}\,(W,W) - i\hbar\Delta W = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

This equation is formally equivalent to the independence of (7) with respect to the gauge fixing fermion Ψ. In (7) Φ^A is a set that includes the classical fields , ghosts, antighosts, auxiliary fields, etc and Φ_A^* are the corresponding antifields. The antibracket (,) and the Δ operator appearing in (8) are defined through

$$(X,Y) = \frac{\partial^R X}{\partial\Phi^A}\frac{\partial^L Y}{\partial\Phi_A^*} - \frac{\partial^R X}{\partial\Phi_*^A}\frac{\partial^L Y}{\partial\Phi_A}\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta X = (-1)^{\epsilon_A+1}\frac{\partial^R\partial^R}{\partial\Phi^A\partial\Phi_A^*}X\,, \tag{10}$$

for arbitrary functions X and Y of the fields and antifields. The corresponding classical action is $\mathcal{S}_0 \equiv \mathcal{S}\left[\Phi^A, \Phi_A^* = 0\right]$.

Going back to our action (1), corresponding to the local symmetry (4) we introduce the ghost c and, as usual, an auxiliary trivial pair $\bar{c}$, b and write down the BV action as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{S}_0 + \int d^2x \left[A^{*\mu}\partial_\mu c - ie\psi^* \left[(s+r\gamma_5)\,c\right]\psi \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + ie\bar{\psi}\left[(s-r\gamma_5)\,c\right]\bar{\psi}^* + \bar{c}^* b \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In order to solve the master equation (8) at one loop level we must calculate $\Delta\mathcal{S}$. In the field antifield formalism, at this loop order, the Pauli Villars (PV) regularization prescription can be easily adopted . We add to the action (11) a PV action with fields $\bar{\chi}$ and χ and choose, as usual, a mass term of the form $\mathcal{M}\bar{\chi}\chi$ that considers the vector symmetry as a privileged one. Following then the steps described in ref [5, 6] we find

---

[2] We are using de Witt's condensed notation which subtends an integral over space time variables when pertinent. Explicitly $\eta_A\P hi^A \equiv \int d^Dx\eta_A(x)\Phi^A(x)$.

$$\Delta\mathcal{S} = \frac{ie^2}{\pi}\int d^2x\, rc\,(r\partial_\mu A^\mu + s\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu)\ . \tag{12}$$

The term $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu$ is cohomologically non trivial while the term $\partial_\mu A^\mu$ is trivial [4]. If $r = 0$, $\Delta\mathcal{S}$ vanishes identically, which is the expected value, since according Eq. (3), we would have in this case a pure vector current coupling with the gauge connection and we are adopting a regularization that takes the vector symmetry as a preferred one. If $r \neq 0$ but $s = 0$ there is no genuine anomaly in the theory as we easily see that

$$M_1 \ = \ \frac{e^2\, r^2}{2\pi}\int d^2x\Big(A_\mu A^\mu\Big). \tag{13}$$

solves the master equation. This result in some sense could be expected due to the $2D$ identity $\gamma_5\gamma^\mu \ = \ \epsilon^{\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu$. It implies that the axial current coupling term corresponds to a vector current coupling with a modified "gauge connection" given by $\tilde{A}_\mu = \epsilon_{\mu\nu}A^\nu$. The anomaly under an axial gauge transformation (See Eq. (4).) should then be proportional to $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, where $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is the "curvature"associated to $\tilde{A}_\mu$. But $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_\mu A^\mu$, which is just the cohomological trivial term found in the present situation. Of course this is not valid for higher dimensions.

In the general case, however, when $r \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$, no M_1 can be found (in the original space of fields) which cancels ΔS in the first loop order term of the expansion of (8). Thus we are in presence of a true gauge anomalous t heory. If we take the point of view of references [15] and enlarge the set of fields of the theory by means of the introduction of a Wess Zumino field θ that transforms exactly in the original gauge group as $\delta\theta(x) \ = \ \alpha(x)$, we can write a solution to the master equation as

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 \ &= \ \frac{ie^2}{\pi}\int d^2x\left\{\frac{1}{2}ar^2A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}r^2(a-1)\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu\theta + \right. \\ &\left. \theta\Big[r^2(a-1)\partial_\mu A^\mu - rs\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu\Big]\right\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Since $\delta M_1 - i\Delta\mathcal{S} = 0$, it follows that (8) is satisfied for

$$W = \mathcal{S} + \hbar M_1 + \int d^2\, x\theta^* c\,. \tag{15}$$

This kind of procedure was proposed in the field antifield framework in [8, 9]. The arbitrary parameter a which appears in (15) is to be identified with the Jackiw Rajaraman parameter[16].

Let us now look at the global symmetries. First let us show how can we calculate the anomalous divergencies of generic global currents by canonical transformations. If we perform an infinitesimal transformation generated by

$$F(\Phi^A, \Phi^{*'}_A) = \Phi^{*'}_A \Phi^A + f(\Phi^A, \Phi^{*'}_A) \tag{16}$$

with

$$f = \epsilon^\alpha \Phi^{*'}_A G^A_\alpha[\Phi]\,, \tag{17}$$

where the ϵ^α's are some arbitrary infinitesimal local parameters, the fields Φ^A, Φ^*_A transform as

$$\Phi^{A'} = \frac{\partial^L F}{\partial \Phi^{*'}_A} = \Phi^A + \epsilon^\alpha G^A_\alpha[\Phi]\,, \tag{18}$$

$$\Phi^*_A = \frac{\partial^R F}{\partial \Phi^A} \quad \rightarrow \quad \Phi^{*'}_A = \Phi^*_B \left(\delta^B_A - \epsilon^\alpha \frac{\partial^R G^B_\alpha}{\partial \Phi^A} \right)\,. \tag{19}$$

It is possible to show [5, 6] that the new action

$$\tilde{W} = W' - i\hbar \Delta f\,, \tag{20}$$

where W' is the action W written in terms of the new primed variables, also satisfies (8).

The factor Δf compensates the possible noninvariance of the measure of integration of (7) with respect to the canonical transformation. However, exactly as it happens in the case of ΔS, the action of the operator Δ must be regularized. When the regularized result is non vanishing, it can be put in the form

$$-\, i\Delta f = \epsilon^\alpha \mathcal{A}_\alpha[\phi^i]\,, \tag{21}$$

A generating functional $Z_\Psi[J, \epsilon]$ constructed with $\tilde{W}$ naturally leads to the same quantized theory and since the parameters ϵ^α are arbitrary, we must have the identities

$$\frac{\partial Z_\Psi[J, \epsilon]}{\partial \epsilon^\alpha(x)} \equiv 0\,. \tag{22}$$

Whenever $\Delta f \neq 0$ these identities may be used to derive anomalous results involving $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$. Introducing:

$$j^\mu_\alpha = \frac{\partial W'}{\partial(\partial_\mu \epsilon^\alpha)}\,, \tag{23}$$

we get the general relation involving the quantum expectation value of the divergence of this current

$$\frac{\partial Z_\Psi[J, \epsilon]}{\partial \epsilon^\alpha(x)} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle -\partial_\mu j^\mu_\alpha + \frac{\partial W'}{\partial \epsilon^\alpha} - \hbar \mathcal{A}_\alpha - G^A_\alpha[\Phi]\eta_A \rangle \tag{24}$$

Therefore, to calculate the anomalous contributions to the divergence of our global currents (6) we perform a canonical transformation generated by (16) with

$$f = -i\epsilon^1 \left(\psi^{*'} \psi - \bar{\psi} \bar{\psi}^{*'} \right) - i\epsilon^2 \left(\psi^{*'} \gamma_5 \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \bar{\psi}^{*'} \right)\,, \tag{25}$$

that incompasses both vectorial and axial transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi' &= \Big(1 \,-\, i\epsilon^1 \,-\, i\epsilon^2\gamma_5\Big)\,\psi\,, \\
\bar\psi' &= \bar\psi\,\Big(1 \,+\, i\epsilon^1 \,-\, i\epsilon^2\gamma_5\Big)\;, \\
\psi^{*\prime} &= \psi^*\,\Big(1 \,+\, i\epsilon^1 \,+\, i\epsilon^2\gamma_5\Big)\;, \\
\bar\psi^{*\prime} &= \Big(1 \,-\, i\epsilon^1 \,+\, i\epsilon^2\gamma_5\Big)\,\bar\psi^*\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

keeping the remaining fields unchanged.

Assuming a regularization analogous to that one adopted for the calculation of (12), the derivation of Δf is analogous to that one of ΔS in with rc is replaced by ϵ and it gives

$$\Delta f = \frac{ie^2}{\pi}\int d^2x\,\epsilon\left(r\partial_\mu A^\mu + s\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu\right)\,. \tag{27}$$

We will assume that, as usual, the gauge fixing fermion Ψ in eq. (7) does not depend on the fermionic fields. This is equivalent to setting

$$\bar\psi^* \,=\, \psi^* \,=\, 0 \tag{28}$$

at the gauge fixed level (after the canonical transformations are performed).

Substitution of (26) and (27) in (20) leads through (22) to

$$\begin{aligned}
<\partial_\mu j^\mu_A>|_{\eta=\bar\eta=0} &= \frac{\hbar e^2}{\pi} < \int d^2x\left(r\partial_\mu A^\mu + s\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu A_\nu\right) > \\
<\partial_\mu j^\mu_V>|_{\eta=\bar\eta=0} &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where η and $\bar\eta$ are the external sources corresponding to ψ and $\bar\psi$ respectively.

These results, together with equation (12) show us that our model has, in general, both gauge and global anomalies (violation in the conservation of global currents). The fact that the vector current is always conserved clearly reflects the choice of a mass term for the Pauli Villars regulating fields that preserves the vector symmetry. It is interesting to observe that the axial current will always have an anomalous d ivergence even in the cases particular cases where there is no gauge anomaly.

It is interesting to see what happens in the non Abelian case. The non Abelian version of (1) reads

$$\mathcal{S}_0\left[A,\psi,\bar\psi\right] = \int d^2x\,\Big[-\,\frac{1}{4}\;\mathrm{tr}\;F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} \,+\, i\,\bar\psi\tilde{D\!\!\!/}\psi\Big] \tag{30}$$

where now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{D\!\!\!/} &= \gamma^\mu\left(\partial_\mu - ie\left(s + r\gamma_5\right)A_\mu\right), \\
F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ie\left[A_\mu, A_\nu\right]\,,
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_\mu &= A^a_\mu T^a \,, \\
\left[T^a, T^b\right] &= i f^{abc} T^c \,, \\
\mathrm{tr}\; T^a T^b &= \delta^{ab} \,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

The natural generalization of the transformations (4) reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi &= ie\,(s + r\gamma_5)\,\alpha\psi \,, \\
\delta\bar{\psi} &= -ie\bar{\psi}\,(s - r\gamma_5)\,\alpha \,, \\
\delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \alpha - ie\,[A_\mu, \alpha] \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{32}
$$

where now the infinitesimal parameters $\alpha \equiv \alpha^a T^a$ takes values at the Lie algebra of the group generated by T^a. However, a simple calculation shows that these transformations leave the action (30) invariant if and only if

$$
(s + r\gamma_5)^2 = (s + r\gamma_5) \;. \tag{33}
$$

This restricts the range of variations of the pair (s, r) to $(1, 0)$ or $(\frac{1}{2}, \pm\frac{1}{2})$ (besides the trivial $(0, 0)$). So, in the non Abelian case, action (30) does not represent any new general model but only a concise representation for chiral or vector QCD_2. There is an extensive literature about these two models [17], to what we refer. It would be possible, however, to apply the methods here described, as well as those found in [10, 11], to any of these two non Abelian models.

Acknowledgment: This work is partially supported by CNPq, FINEP and FUJB (Brazilian Research Agencies).

References

[1] S. Adler , Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2426; J. Bell and R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cim. 60A (1969) 47.

[2] For a review, see R. Jackiw, in Lectures on Current Algebra and it's Applications", ed. S. Treiman et al. , Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1972.

[3] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, Phys. Lett. B102 (1981) 27; Phys. Rev. D28 (1983) 2567.

[4] For a review, see M.Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of gauge systems* (Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1992).

[5] A recent review with a wide list of references can be found in J. Gomis, J. Paris and S. Samuel, Phys. Rep. 259 (1995) 1.

[6] W.Troost, P.van Nieuwenhuizen and A. Van Proeyen, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990) 727.

[7] N. F. R. Braga and H. Montani, Phys. Lett. B264 (1991) 125

[8] N. F. R. Braga and H. Montani, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A8 (1993) 2569.

[9] J. Gomis, J. Paris Nucl. Phys. B395 (1993) 288.

[10] R. Amorim and N. R. F. Braga, Phys. Review D57 (1998)1225.

[11] R. Amorim , N. R. F. Braga and M. Henneaux , Phys. Lett. B436 (1998) 125.

[12] F. Brandt, M. Henneaux and A. Wilch, Nucl. Phys. B 510 (1998) 640.

[13] T. Hurth and K. Skenderis, "Quantum Noether Method", hep-th/9803030.

[14] A. Bassetto, L. Griguolo and P. Zanca, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1077.

[15] L. D. Faddeev, Phys. Lett. B145 (1984) 81; L. D. Faddeev and S. L. Shatashvili, Phys. Lett. B167 (1986) 225.

[16] R. Jackiw and R. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 1219.

[17] See for instance E. Abdalla, M. C. B. Abdalla, Phys. Rept. 265 (1996) 253 and References therein.